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We find an explicit formula for the total dimension of the homology of a free 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra. We analyse the asymptotics of this formula and use it to find an improved lower bound on the total dimension of the homology of any 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of rank $r$ is $\mathcal{N}_{r}=V \oplus \Lambda^{2} V$, where $V$ is an $r$ dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. The only non-zero Lie brackets are for $v, w \in V$, when $[v, w]=v \wedge w \in \Lambda^{2} V$. The centre of $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ is $\Lambda^{2} V$.

In [5], Sigg describes how to decompose the Lie algebra homology $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ into its irreducible components as a representation of $G L(V)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)=\bigoplus_{I \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}} H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summand $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ is isomorphic to the irreducible tensor representation $R_{\lambda}(V)$ corresponding to the self-conjugate partition $\lambda=(I ; I)$ in Frobenius notation. (This notation will be explained in Section 2.) The homology grading of $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ is $\Sigma(I)=\sum_{i \in I} i$.

Despite claims to the contrary in [5], this decomposition is a special case of Kostant's decomposition [4] of the Lie algebra cohomology (or homology) of the nilradical of a parabolic subalgebra of a semisimple Lie algebra as a representation of the Levi factor. In this case, the parabolic is the Lie algebra of the stabiliser in $S O\left(V \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus V^{*}\right)$ of the maximal isotropic subspace $V$. The Levi factor is $G L(V)$ and the indexing set for the indecomposable summands is a transversal to the smaller Weyl group $S_{r}$ in the large one $S_{r} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}$. Such a transversal is naturally in one-one correspondence with the subsets of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$.

The decomposition yields a formula for the Poincaré polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\mathcal{N}_{r} ; t\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dim} H_{n}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right) t^{n}=\sum_{I \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}} \operatorname{dim} R_{(I ; I)}(V) t^{\Sigma(I)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus for the total homology

$$
T(r)=\operatorname{dim} H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)=P\left(\mathcal{N}_{r} ; 1\right)
$$

The sum may be computed using one of the standard formulae for the dimension of an irreducible representation of $G L(r)$ (e.g. from [2]). For example, the first nine values of $T(r)$ are as follows.

| $r$ | $T(r)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 36 |
| 4 | 420 |
| 5 | 9800 |
| 6 | 452760 |
| 7 | 41835024 |
| 8 | 7691667984 |
| 9 | 2828336198688 |

Since we are taking a sum of $2^{r}$ positive terms, the length of the computation and the size of the answer grow exponentially.

A well-known lower bound for the total homology of any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is $2^{z}$, where $z$ is the dimension of the centre, i.e., the so-called Toral Rank Conjecture is true in this case. Recently, in [6], the bound has been improved to $2^{z+\lceil r / 2\rceil}$, where $r$ is the codimension of the centre. For the free 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra, $z=r(r-1) / 2$ and hence a lower bound for $T(r)$ is $2^{r^{2} / 2}$.

In this paper we find the following explicit formula for $T(r)$.
Theorem 1.1. For $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(2 n+1)=2^{n+1} \beta(n)^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& T(2 n+2)=2^{n+1} \beta(n) \beta(n+1) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta(n) & =\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \frac{2(i+j)-1}{2 i-1}  \tag{5}\\
& =\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(4 k)!k!^{2}}{(2 k)!^{3}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note from (5) that $\beta(n)$ is always odd. For example, the first five values are as follows.

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta(n)$ | 1 | 3 | 35 | 1617 | 297297 |

Hence the power of 2 dividing $T(r)$ is precisely $2^{\lceil r / 2\rceil}$.
Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2 using Giambelli's determinant formula for the representation dimensions and observing that several simplifications can be made for self-conjugate partitions leading to an expression for $T(r)$ as a single determinant. This determinant can be further simplified by elementary row and column operations. A remarkable fact, that appears as the finishing step in the proof, is that $\beta(n)$ is the dimension of an irreducible $S O(2 n+1)$ representation. Indeed, (3) is valid at the level of characters of $S O(2 n+1)$ and not just dimensions, but we have no deeper understanding of why this is true.

One consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that the asymptotic behaviour of $T(r)$ can be analysed more closely and we discover that the lower bound $2^{r^{2} / 2}$ is in fact the dominant term in the asymptotics. We do this in Section 3 by analysing the asymptotic behaviour of $\beta(n)$ using (6) and find the following.

Theorem 1.2. There is a constant $\kappa \simeq 1.3814$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r) \sim 2^{r^{2} / 2} r^{1 / 8} \kappa \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we obtain a stronger result (Theorem 3.1) by finding actual upper and lower bounds on $T(r)$. In Section 4, we then apply Theorem 3.1 to further improve the lower bound on the total homology of any 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra.
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## 2. FROBENIUS' NOTATION AND GIAMBELLI'S FORMULA

A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k} \geq 0\right)$ is often represented by its Young diagram $Y(\lambda)$, a graphical arrangement of $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in the $i$-th row starting in the first column. The conjugate partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ of $\lambda$ has Young diagram $Y\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ obtained by reflecting $Y(\lambda)$ in the diagonal.
Another way to denote a partition $\lambda$ is due to Frobenius. Let $d=d_{\lambda}$ be the number of diagonal boxes of $Y(\lambda)$. For $i=1, \ldots, d$, let $\alpha_{i}$ to be the number of boxes in the $i$-th row to the right of and including the diagonal. Let $\beta_{i}$ to be the number of boxes in the $i$-th column below and including the diagonal. Then one writes $\lambda=(I ; J)$ where $I=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right\}$ and $J=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\}$. Note that $\alpha_{1}>\cdots>\alpha_{d} \geq 1$ and $\beta_{1}>\cdots>\beta_{d} \geq 1$, so the sets $I$ and $J$ determine the sequences $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$.

An example is given below, showing a partition $\lambda$ and its conjugate $\lambda^{\prime}$ in standard notation and Frobenius notation, together with their Young diagrams.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =(3,2,2,1) \\
& =(\{3,1\} ;\{4,2\})
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime} & =(4,3,1) \\
& =(\{4,2\} ;\{3,1\})
\end{aligned}
$$



Note that there are different conventions on the precise form of Frobenius notation and, in particular, [5] uses a slightly different one.

In general, if $\lambda=(I ; J)$, then the conjugate partition $\lambda^{\prime}=(J ; I)$, so that $\lambda$ is self-conjugate if and only if $I=J$. If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r} \geq 0\right)$ is a self-conjugate partition with no more than $r$ rows (and columns), then the complementary partition $\lambda^{c}=\left(r-\lambda_{r} \geq \cdots \geq r-\lambda_{1} \geq 0\right)$. If $\lambda=(I ; I)$ in Frobenius notation, then $\lambda^{c}=\left(I^{c} ; I^{c}\right)$, where $I^{c}=\{1, \ldots, r\} \backslash I$ is the
complementary subset. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =(3,3,2,0) \\
& =(\{3,2\} ;\{3,2\})
\end{aligned}
$$

$Y(\lambda)=$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{c} & =(4,2,1,1) \\
& =(\{4,1\} ;\{4,1\})
\end{aligned}
$$



As a consequence, a self conjugate partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r} \geq 0\right)$ may be recovered from Frobenius notation by writing $I=\left\{\alpha_{1}>\cdots>\alpha_{d}\right\}$ in descending order and the complement $I^{c}=\left\{\alpha_{d+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{r}\right\}$ in ascending order and then putting

$$
\lambda_{i}= \begin{cases}\alpha_{i}+i-1 & 1 \leq i \leq d  \tag{8}\\ i-\alpha_{i} & d<i \leq r\end{cases}
$$

Now recall (e.g. [2] §15.5) that any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r} \geq 0\right)$ with no more than $r$ rows determines an irreducible tensor representation $R_{\lambda}(V)$ of $G L(V)$, where $r=\operatorname{dim} V$. Furthermore, the 'second Giambelli formula' $([2](24.11))$ gives the character and hence the dimension of $R_{\lambda}(V)$ as a determinant. When $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} R_{\lambda}(V)=\operatorname{det} G_{1}(\lambda, r) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Giambelli matrix $G_{1}(\lambda, r)$ is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(\lambda, r)_{i j}=\binom{r}{\lambda_{i}+j-i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, each row consists of $r$ consecutive binomial coefficients chosen so that the $i$ th row has $\binom{r}{\lambda_{i}}$ on the diagonal. For example

$$
\lambda=(3,3,2,0) \quad G_{1}(\lambda, 4)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
\binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 \\
\binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that, to obtain the character of $R_{\lambda}(V)$, we simply need to interpret the symbol $\binom{r}{k}$ as the character of $\Lambda^{k} V$ rather than just as a binomial coefficient.

Using (8) we may also describe the Giambelli matrix $G_{1}(\lambda, r)$ in terms of Frobenius notation as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ the $i$ th row starts with $\binom{r}{\alpha_{i}}$, while for $d<i \leq r$ the $i$ th row ends with $\binom{r}{r-\alpha_{i}}$. But note that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ is a permutation of $(1, \ldots, r)$ and the sign of this permutation is $(-1)^{\# I_{0}}$, where $\# I_{0}$ is the number of even elements of $I$. Now $G_{1}(\lambda, r)$ is obtained by applying this permutation to the rows of the $r \times r$ matrix $G_{2}(I, r)$ with entries

$$
G_{2}(I, r)_{i j}= \begin{cases}\binom{r}{j+i-1}, & \text { if } i \in I  \tag{11}\\ \binom{r}{j-i}, & \text { if } i \notin I\end{cases}
$$

Hence we may use (2) to write the Poincaré polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\mathcal{N}_{r} ; t\right) & =\sum_{I \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}}(-1)^{\# I_{0}} t^{\Sigma(I)} \operatorname{det} G_{2}(I, r)  \tag{12}\\
& =\operatorname{det} G_{3}(r ; t) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{3}(r ; t)$ is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$
G_{3}(r ; t)_{i j}=\binom{r}{j-i}-(-t)^{i}\binom{r}{j+i-1}
$$

The equality of (12) and (13) simply follows from the fact that det is linear in rows. In particular, we have a formula for the total homology

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r)=\operatorname{dim} H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)=\operatorname{det} G_{3}(r ; 1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example,

$$
G_{3}(4 ; 1)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} \\
0 & \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \binom{4}{0}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} \\
-\binom{4}{2} & -\binom{4}{3} & -\binom{4}{4} & 0 \\
\binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
-\binom{4}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that by interpreting $\binom{r}{k}$ as the character of $\Lambda^{k} V$ we may use (14) as a formula for the $G L(V)$ character of $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ and not just its dimension. This is because we have so far been careful not to use any binomial identities, such as $\binom{r}{k}=\binom{r}{r-k}$, which do not hold at the level of $G L(V)$ characters. However, if we do allow ourselves to use such an identity, which would still hold at the level of $S O(V)$ characters, then we notice that the matrix $G_{3}(r ; 1)$ has odd rows which are symmetric under reversal and even rows which are antisymmetric. The determinant of such a matrix can always be simplified as follows.

Lemma 2.1. Let $Z$ be an $r \times r$ matrix whose odd rows are symmetric and whose even rows are antisymmetric. Let $r_{0}=\lfloor r / 2\rfloor$ be the number of even rows and $r_{1}=\lceil r / 2\rceil$ be the number of odd rows. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} Z=2^{r_{0}} \operatorname{det} X_{0} \operatorname{det} X_{1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ are the $r_{0} \times r_{0}$ and $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrices consisting of the final parts of the even and odd rows, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0}[i, j] & =Z\left[2 i, r_{1}+j\right] \\
X_{1}[i, j] & =Z\left[2 i-1, r_{0}+j\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof uses elementary row and column operations. We describe the general case, while showing the case $r=5$ as an illustration. Here $X_{1}=\left(x_{i j}\right)$ and $X_{0}=\left(y_{i j}\right)$.

$$
\operatorname{det} Z=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\
-y_{12} & -y_{11} & 0 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\
x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\
-y_{22} & -y_{11} & 0 & y_{21} & y_{22} \\
x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We reverse the order of the first $r_{1}$ columns and rearrange the rows so that all the odd rows precede all the even rows. Note that this may be done with $\binom{r_{1}}{2}$ column transpositions and $\binom{r_{1}}{2}$ row transpositions so that the sign of the determinant is unchanged.

$$
\operatorname{det} Z=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\
x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\
x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{33} \\
0 & -y_{11} & -y_{12} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\
0 & -y_{11} & -y_{22} & y_{21} & y_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We now add column $r+1-j$ to column $r_{1}+1-j$, for $j=1, \ldots, r_{0}$ to obtain a block upper triangular matrix in which $r_{0}$ columns have a factor of 2 , which may be removed to give the required answer.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} Z & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{11} & 2 x_{12} & 2 x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\
x_{21} & 2 x_{22} & 2 x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\
x_{31} & 2 x_{32} & 2 x_{33} & x_{32} & x_{33} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_{11} & y_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_{21} & y_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =2^{r_{0}} \operatorname{det} X_{1} \operatorname{det} X_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.1 with (14) we obtain the following.
Proposition 2.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(2 n+1)=2^{n+1} \operatorname{det} C(n) \operatorname{det} B(n)  \tag{16}\\
& T(2 n+2)=2^{n+1} \operatorname{det} D(n) \operatorname{det} A(n+1) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(n), B(n), C(n)$ and $D(n)$ are $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(n)_{i j} & =\binom{2 n}{n+1+j-2 i}+\binom{2 n}{n-2+j+2 i} \\
B(n)_{i j} & =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 n+1 \\
n+2-2 i \\
2 n+1
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2+1+j-2 i
\end{array}\right)+\binom{2 n+1}{n-2+j+2 i} & j>1
\end{array}\right. \\
C(n)_{i j} & =\binom{2 n+1}{n+1+j-2 i}-\binom{2 n+1}{n+j+2 i} \\
D(n)_{i j} & =\binom{2 n+2}{n+1+j-2 i}-\binom{2 n+2}{n+j+2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 with $Z=G_{3}(r ; 1)$ and note two additional special features. Firstly, the last part of the last row of $G_{3}(r ; 1)$ is $(0, \ldots, 0,1)$. This means that, when $r=2 n+1$, we take $C(n)$ to be $X_{0}$ and $B(n)$ to be $X_{1}$ with the last row and column omitted, while when $r=2 n+2$ we take $A(n+1)$ to be $X_{1}$ and $D(n)$ to be $X_{0}$ with the last row and column omitted. Secondly, when $r=2 n+1$ the middle coloumn of $Z$ is divisible by 2 , which would mean that the first entry of the $i$ th row of $B(n)$ would be

$$
\binom{2 n+1}{n+2-2 i}+\binom{2 n+1}{n-1+2 i}=2\binom{2 n+1}{n+2-2 i}
$$

Therefore we may remove this factor of 2 from the first column of $B(n)$ and get the extra factor of 2 in (16).

We now make a closer analysis of the determinants in Proposition 2.1 to prove our main result.

Proof (of Theorem 1.1). First we find that $\operatorname{det} B(n)=\operatorname{det} C(n)$, by applying to $B(n)$ successively the operations

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\operatorname{Row}_{i} & \mapsto \operatorname{Row}_{i}-\operatorname{Row}_{i+1} \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\operatorname{Col}_{j} & \mapsto \operatorname{Col}_{j}+\operatorname{Col}_{j-2} \quad j=3, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

Next we find that $\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} B$ and $\operatorname{det} C=\operatorname{det} D$ by applying to $A$ or $C$ the column operations

$$
\mathrm{Col}_{j} \mapsto \operatorname{Col}_{j}+\operatorname{Col}_{j-1} \quad j=n, \ldots, 2
$$

and using the fact that $\binom{r}{k}+\binom{r}{k-1}=\binom{r+1}{k}$.
Finally we make the surprising observation that $\operatorname{det} B(n)$ is one of the Giambelli-type determinant formulae ([2] Corollary 24.35) for the dimension/character of the irreducible $S O(2 n+1)$ representation $W_{a}$ with highest weight $a=(1, \ldots, 1,2)$. Here we use the basis of fundamental weights and the coefficient 2 goes at the end of the Dynkin diagram with the short simple root. Then the Weyl dimension formula ([2] Corollary 24.6 \& Exercise 24.30) gives

$$
\operatorname{dim} W_{a}=\frac{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} 2(j-i)(2(i+j)-1) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n}(4 j-1)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n}(2 j-1)!}
$$

This expression may be simplified to (5), which is also a simplification of (6).

Remark 2. 1. In proving both Proposition 2.1 and the equality between $\operatorname{det} B(n)$ and $\operatorname{det} C(n)$, the only property of the binomial coefficients we use is that $\binom{r}{k}=\binom{r}{r-k}$, which means that the formulae (16) and (17) hold at the level of $S O(r)$ characters, rather than just dimensions. In particular, this implies that, as an $S O(2 n+1)$ representation, the homology $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{2 n+1}\right)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $2^{n+1}$ copies of $W_{a} \otimes W_{a}$. It is less clear how to interpret (17) at the level of representations, although one can say that $\operatorname{det} A(n+1)$ is the restriction to $S O(2 n+2)$ of the irreducible $S O(2 n+3)$ character $\operatorname{det} B(n+1)$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{det} D(n)$ is a virtual character of $S O(2 n+2)$, whose restiction to $S O(2 n+1)$ is the irreducible character $\operatorname{det} B(n)$.

Remark 2. 2. Some of the determinant manipulations above may be applied to the formula $\operatorname{det} G_{3}(r ; t)$ for the Poincaré polynomial to show that $(1+t)^{\lceil r / 2\rceil}$ divides $P\left(\mathcal{N}_{r} ; t\right)$ just as $2^{\lceil r / 2\rceil}$ divides $T(r)$. By setting $t=1$ and recalling that $T(r) / 2^{\lceil r / 2\rceil}$ is always odd, we see that no higher power of $(1+t)$ divides $P\left(\mathcal{N}_{r} ; t\right)$.

Remark 2. 3. Lemma 2.1 may be refined to provide a simplification of the determinant of a matrix in which either the odd rows are symmetric or the even rows are antisymmetric. This leads to some refinements of Proposition 2.1, which also hold at the level of $S O(V)$ characters and shed some light on the multiplicity $2^{n+1}$.

For any set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, let $I_{0}$ denote the set of even numbers in $I$ and $I_{1}$ denote the set of odd numbers. We will now write $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ as $H_{\left[I_{1}, I_{0}\right]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$
and, for any $K \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}_{1}$ and $L \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}_{0}$, will define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{[K, *]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right) & =\bigoplus_{J \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}_{0}} H_{[K, J]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right) \\
H_{[*, L]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right) & =\bigoplus_{J \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}_{1}} H_{[J, L]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

What can be shown is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Char}_{S O(2 n+1)} H_{[K, *]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{2 n+1}\right) & =\operatorname{det} B(n) \operatorname{det} C(n) \\
\operatorname{Char}_{S O(2 n+2)} H_{[K, *]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{2 n+2}\right) & =\operatorname{det} D(n) \operatorname{det} A(n+1) \\
\operatorname{Char}_{S O(2 n+1)} H_{[*, L]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{2 n+1}\right) & =2 \operatorname{det} B(n) \operatorname{det} C(n) \\
\operatorname{Char}_{S O(2 n+2)} H_{[*, L]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{2 n+2}\right) & =\operatorname{det} D(n) \operatorname{det} A(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, the partial sums $H_{[K, *]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ are all isomorphic as representations of $S O(r)$, independent of $K$. Furthermore, the partial sums $H_{[*, L]}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ are all isomorphic as representations of $S O(r)$, independent of $L$, and this representation is the same as the one above, when $r$ is even, and twice the one above, when $r$ is odd.

We illustrate this result by giving all the representation dimensions for $r=4$ arranged in a table with rows indexed by $I_{1}$ and columns by $I_{0}$. As predicted, all rows and columns have the same sum, which in this case is $\beta(1) \beta(2)=105$.

| $I_{1} \backslash I_{0}$ | $\}$ | $\{4\}$ | $\{2\}$ | $\{2,4\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\}$ | 1 | 20 | 20 | 64 |
| $\{1\}$ | 4 | 45 | 20 | 36 |
| $\{3\}$ | 36 | 20 | 45 | 4 |
| $\{1,3\}$ | 64 | 20 | 20 | 1 |

This table also displays two entertaining properties, which appear to hold for the dimensions of $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$. These are observed empirically for $r \leq 20$ but not proved in general. Firstly, there is an involution $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ with the property that $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ has odd dimension if and only if $\sigma(I)=I$. This involution is defined by partitioning $\{1, \ldots, r\}$, when $r$ is even, or $\{2, \ldots, r\}$, when $r$ is odd, into certain even intervals and reversing each interval. Secondly, the largest dimension of $H_{I}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r}\right)$ occurs when $I=\{1, \ldots, r\}_{0}$ or $I=\{1, \ldots, r\}_{1}$. One easily computes that this dimension is $2^{z}$, where $z=r(r-1) / 2$ is the dimension of the centre of $\mathcal{N}_{r}$.

## 3. ASYMPTOTICS AND BOUNDS FOR $\beta$ AND $T$

To study the asymptotics of $\beta(n)$, and hence $T(r)$, we consider the expression (6) from Theorem 1.1, that is,

$$
\beta(n)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(4 k)!k!^{2}}{(2 k)!^{3}}
$$

We will make repeated use of Euler's summation formula ([1] Chap.12, Art.106-108), for the difference between the sum and the integral of a function. We start with one special case: Stirling's asymptotic series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log n!=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log n-n+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)+\phi(n) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(n)=1 / 12 n-1 / 360 n^{3}+1 / 1260 n^{5}-\cdots \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{(4 k)!k!^{2}}{(2 k)!^{3}}\right)=\left(2 k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log 2+\frac{1}{16} \Phi(k) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(k)=16(\phi(4 k)+2 \phi(k)-3 \phi(2 k))$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(k)=1 / k-7 / 96 k^{3}+\varepsilon_{1}(k), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<\varepsilon_{1}(k)<31 / 1280 k^{5}<1 / 40 k^{5}$, for simplicity. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \beta(n)=\left(n^{2}+n / 2\right) \log 2+\frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(k) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now estimate the last term using other cases of Euler's summation formula. Firstly,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 / k=\gamma+\log n+1 / 2 n-1 / 12 n^{2}+\varepsilon_{2}(n)
$$

where $\gamma \simeq 0.5772$ is Euler's constant and $0<\varepsilon_{2}(n)<1 / 120 n^{4}$. Secondly,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 / k^{3}=\zeta(3)-1 / 2 n^{2}+1 / 2 n^{3}-\varepsilon_{3}(n)
$$

where $0<\varepsilon_{3}(n)<1 / 4 n^{4}$. Finally, we have the simple estimate

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{1}(k)=c-\varepsilon_{4}(n)
$$

where $0<\varepsilon_{4}(n)<1 / 160 n^{4}$ and $c$ is a constant, with $0<c<\zeta(5) / 40$. Putting these three together with (21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(k)=C+\log n+1 / 2 n-3 / 64 n^{2}-7 / 192 n^{3}+\varepsilon_{5}(n) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-1 / 160 n^{4}<\varepsilon_{5}(n)<1 / 32 n^{4}$ and $C=\gamma-7 \zeta(3) / 96+c$. Repeating the analysis above with one more term in the asymptotic series (19), we could show that $0.495<C<0.515$, but to get better accuracy we must use numerical experiments to show that $C \simeq 0.5055$.

From (23), we obtain the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
C+\log (n+1 / 2)<\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(k)<C+\log (n+1 / 2+1 / 12 n) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (22) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1 / 2)^{1 / 16}<\frac{\beta(n)}{2^{\left(n^{2}+n / 2\right)} e^{C / 16}}<(n+1 / 2+1 / 12 n)^{1 / 16} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(n) \sim 2^{\left(n^{2}+n / 2\right)} n^{1 / 16} e^{C / 16} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we put (26) into Theorem 1.1, then we immediately obtain Theorem 1.2, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=2^{3 / 8} e^{C / 8} \simeq 1.3814 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if we put (25) into Theorem 1.1, then a little manipulation yields the following.

Theorem 3.1. When $r$ is odd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{1 / 8}<\frac{T(r)}{2^{r^{2} / 2} \kappa}<\left(r^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 16} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $r$ is even,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 16}<\frac{T(r)}{2^{r^{2} / 2} \kappa}<r^{1 / 8} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A careful reader will note that to derive the upper bounds in (28) and (29) from (25) we need $r \geq 5$, but it may be checked directly that these inequalities also hold for $r<5$.

Remark 3. 1. Javier Cilleruehlo has shown us a more direct derivation of the asymptotic formula for $T(r)$ in Theorem 1.2. He starts by noting the following formulae.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta(n)=\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n}\left(\frac{(4 k-1)(4 k-3)}{(2 k-1)^{2}}\right)^{n+1-k}  \tag{30}\\
& T(r)=2^{r(r+1) / 2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\
j \text { odd }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 j^{2}}\right)^{r-j} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

He then makes a direct asymptotic analysis of (31), using amongst other things the formulae

$$
\prod_{j \text { odd }}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 j^{2}}\right)^{r}=2^{-r / 2} \quad \text { and } \prod_{\substack{j>r \\ j \text { odd }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 j^{2}}\right)^{-r} \sim e^{1 / 8}
$$

As a consequence, he recovers Theorem 1.2, together with the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=e^{1 / 8} \prod_{j \text { odd }}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 j^{2}}\right)^{-j}\left(1+\frac{2}{j}\right)^{-1 / 8} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a more detailed analysis he obtains the following refinement with the same order of extra control as Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T(r)=2^{r^{2} / 2} r^{1 / 8} \kappa\left(1+c r^{-2}+O\left(r^{-3}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=5 / 128$, when $r$ is odd, and $c=-3 / 128$, when $r$ is even.

## 4. APPLICATION

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any finite dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{a}$ a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Then

$$
H_{*}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a})=H_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^{*} \mathfrak{a}
$$

and thus $\left|H_{*}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a})\right|=2^{|\mathfrak{a}|}\left|H_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right|$, where $|W|$ is short-hand for $\operatorname{dim} W$. Assume now that $\mathfrak{g}$ has no abelian factors, let $\mathfrak{z}=$ centre $(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $V$ be any direct complement of $\mathfrak{z}$. The minimum number of generators of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $r=\operatorname{dim} V$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{N}_{r}$. In [3] (Theorem 2.1) it
is proved that one may degenerate $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian with $|\mathfrak{a}|=\left|\mathcal{N}_{r}\right|-|\mathfrak{g}|$. Since under degeneration the homology can only grow we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{|\mathfrak{a}|}\left|H_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right| \geq T(r) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can improve the lower bounds given in [6] for the total homology of a 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra. Theorem 1.2 shows that we obtain essentially the best general lower bound available in a single formula.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of finite dimension. Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be its centre, $z=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{z})$ and $r=\operatorname{codim}(\mathfrak{z})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2^{z+r / 2}\left(r^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 16} \kappa \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ has no abelian factors and combine (34) with Theorem 3.1 to obtain the required inequality. But now notice that if we replace $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, then both sides of the inequality are multiplied by $2^{|\mathfrak{a}|}$ and so it remains valid. Thus the result follows for all $\mathfrak{g}$.

Note that, because $\kappa>2^{3 / 8}$, this result does always improve the old lower bound of $2^{z+\lceil r / 2\rceil}$. If we were willing to separate into cases, then we could make a small improvement by replacing the term $\left(r^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 16}$ in (35) by $r^{1 / 8}$ when $r$ is odd.
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